

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,305	09/13/2000	Takaaki Sato	P19977	7747	
7055 7	590 08/21/2003				
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
	1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191		YU, MIS	YU, MISOOK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	k/	
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
•						
Office Action Summary	09/661,30	15	SATO ET AL.			
omos Addon Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and		YU, Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	lune 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th		non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	<u></u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims A) \(\sum \) Claim(a) \(2.5 \) and \(7 \) in/are pending in the application						
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 3-5 and 7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) ation Sheet .			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Printout of claims of US Pat 6558912.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,305

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is withdrawn since the claim is amended to "candidate medicament.

Claims 3-5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The amended base claim still lacks a contacting step and a step correlating the detection step to the purpose in preamble of the claims. Note the attached claim construction of US Pat. 6,558,912 as reference.

Any other rejection here is withdrawn in view of amendment or due to persuasive argument.

NEW GROUND OF REJECTION AND OBJECTION Claim Objections

Claims 4-6, and 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claims depend on the base claim which limits the scope to "binding" of NADE and an apoptosis related protein. However, the claims broaden the scope to "interaction" instead of further limiting the base claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,305

Art Unit: 1642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4, 5, and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite "interaction" but it is not clear what the metes and bounds are for the limitation.

Claims 3-5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed. had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are interpreted as drawn to a method using NADE and a genus of protein called "an apoptosis related protein which binds to NADE". The Office interprets NADE is limited to the 124 amino acids protein disclosed as Bex3 by Brown et al (1999, Human Molecular Genetics vol. 8, pages 611-619) according to the definition at 3rd paragraph at page 5 of the specification. However, the specification at page 5, 4th paragraph defines "an apoptosis related protein which binds to NADE" to include something "which includes unknown" (see lines 2-3 of 4th paragraph at page 5) applicant did not possess at the time the instant application was filed. This rejection would be obviated if the scope is limited to the species listed in the now cancelled original claim 2 as stated in the previous Office action under the heading Allowable Subject Matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,305

Art Unit: 1642

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Misook Yu August 19, 2003

> MARY E. MOSHER ' PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 //